

Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4A94A4F199B247778ABB02285A51B927-KRAFT, ANDREW]
Sent: 4/8/2014 12:07:04 PM
To: Subramaniam, Ravi [Subramaniam.Ravi@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Workshop suggestions
Attachments: 2014_04_04 ACC Formaldehyde Panel Suggestions for EPA Workshop.pdf; SOT_2014-MORGAN.pdf

Ravi,

Note that David would like us to modify our charge questions, if the recommendations make sense (I anticipate a subset of ACC's comments will end up falling into this category).

-Andrew

From: Sonawane, Bob
Sent: Friday, April 04, 2014 9:57 PM
To: Bussard, David
Cc: Kraft, Andrew; Glenn, Barbara
Subject: FW: Workshop suggestions

Hi David,

FYI. Let us discuss the workshop suggestions of Nancy Beck, Jackson Morrill and formaldehyde panel of ACC on Monday.

Bob

From: Beck, Nancy <Nancy_Beck@americanchemistry.com>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2014 6:07 PM
To: Sonawane, Bob
Cc: Olden, Kenneth; Morrill, Jackson
Subject: Workshop suggestions

Hey Bob,

It was good to see you at SOT. I hope you are recovered. I'm finally getting my energy back!

I've been working a bit with Jackson Morrill and the formaldehyde panel. Now that everyone is back from SOT and had a chance to review the agenda, the panel has some comments on the workshop. The key thing is that they really want to see a session that integrates all the information from the different data streams (animal, epi, mechanistic), as well as some other tweaks to ensure that the charge questions are as robust as possible to help inform the assessment. The other important concern is that since the epi is so crucially important, it would be much better to begin the workshop with those discussions while everyone is fresh and not worrying about catching plane/train. A robust discussion will need more time and it would be great to give this topic as much time as necessary.

Finally, process wise, it's hard to tell from the agenda, but the hope is that there will be sufficient time for audience participation at each session. As you know the panel also strongly believes that its critical to have the workshop professionally facilitated and that the proceedings be published.

The attachment shows the detailed panel suggestions for the charge. It looks worse than it is and I think that you will agree that the suggestions should only help strengthen the workshop. The key, I think for everyone, is to get as much useable information out of it as possible.

Finally, I've also attached the NTP poster that we talked about. It just shows the preliminary findings, but they seem pretty important to me.

Let me know if you are free to chat next week. I'd be happy to walk you through the suggestions.

Regards,
Nancy

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Senior Director- Regulatory Science Policy
Regulatory and Technical Affairs
American Chemistry Council | 700 2nd St NE | Washington DC 20002
Email: Nancy_Beck@americanchemistry.com
Office: 202-249-6417
www.americanchemistry.com

+++++ This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee do not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of email transmission. American Chemistry Council, 700 – 2nd Street NE, Washington, DC 20002, www.americanchemistry.com